SHELTER, LAND, TITLE AND TENURE HOW DO THE DOTS CONNECT?

LeGrand L. Malany

Building, Infrastructure and Disaster Response Engineer Response Alternative for Technical Services United States Agency for International Development Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance Why even talk about land in shelter programs?

Something has clearly changed?

TO UNDERSTAND OUR TOPIC WE TO EXPLORE FOUR QUESTIONS

- What is our shelter attitude?
- Why is land an issue?
- Why is the land issue so difficult to deal with?
- Can shelter issues and land issues be separated?

OUR SHELTER ATTITUDE HAS BEEN SHIFTING

- We what to do more than just help out. We what to improve lives, mitigate against future problems and help societies recover. Otherwise we would stop at tents.
- Our shelter goals have shifted. We see shelter as a complex of influential forces -politics, economics, security, physical infrastructure development, psycho-social and health, democratization and promotion of government stabilization.

- Relief and development are not isolated activates and shelter interventions tie these two spheres of activity together better that any other sector activity.
- We are becoming increasingly more interested in the economic benefits of shelter and are now aware of the significant way these benefits can energize economic development in post-disaster societies.

WHY IS LAND AN ISSUE?

Land is intrinsically a part of shelter.
They are tied together. We can not have shelter without land.

The beneficiary needs the right to use the land for at least as long as we intend for him to have possession of the shelter.

- Our shelter activities are more and more becoming involved in urban settings and land issues in this setting are more complex and subject to a larger variety of pressures.
- Many of the forward looking linkages of shelter are economical and rely on the shelter as an important asset and concomitantly the land on which the shelter sits.

WHY IS IT SO DIFFICULT TO DEAL WITH?

For shelter to effectuate the linkages we desire, it must turn from a "thing" (four walls and a roof) to "property." The "thing" and property are distinct. Property is a concept. Property and law are born together. You cannot have property without law.

 Land ownership, tenure and use are always a political issue not shelter questions. Once you give a beneficiary a tenure interest you set some legal and political precedent. ◆ To have tenure security you must have ownership security and to have ownership security you need a functioning civil land system, which is usually dysfunctional at best. The more we want the forward linkages of our shelter projects to be operative (particularly the economic ones) the more we need stable property relationships in our shelter programs.

CAN SHELTER ISSUES AND LAND ISSUES BE SEPARATED?

No, unless all we want to do is erect tents!

END

All indications are that shelter is important in capital formation; that capital is important in building incomes; and, that the role of shelter in capital appears to be important in acceleration development. All of which require the shelter "thing" to be property. For forward economic linkages to have the time necessary to bear fruit there must be stability in property rights which is a requirement for security in land tenure. If the evidence is correct that HBE single most important income for the population, then tenure security is a must.

DEFINITIONS

The subject of land and its interest is a semantic jungle.

 We need a lexicon that we all can accept and relate to and that fits our program needs.

WORDS TO DEFINE

- Land title
- Land tenure
- Land Management
- Land Administration
- Land reform
- Land redistribution
- Agrarian reform
- Land policy

Property

